## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Brett Howard,	) C/A No.: 1:18-2234-TMC-SVH
Petitioner,	) )
vs.	ORDER
Warden of Lieber Correctional	) )
Institution,	)
Respondent.	<i>)</i> )

Petitioner, proceeding pro se, brought this action requesting a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent filed a motion for summary judgment on October 26, 2018. [ECF No. 15]. As Petitioner is proceeding pro se, the court entered an order pursuant to *Roseboro v. Garrison*, 528 F.2d 309 (4th Cir. 1975), advising him of the importance of a motion and of the need for him to file an adequate response by November 26, 2018. [ECF No. 16]. Petitioner was specifically advised that if he failed to respond adequately, Respondent's motion may be granted, thereby ending this case.

Notwithstanding the specific warning and instructions set forth in the court's *Roseboro* order, Petitioner has failed to respond to the motion. As such, it appears to the court that he does not oppose the motion. Based on the foregoing, the undersigned orders Petitioner to advise the court as to whether

he wishes to continue with this case and to file a response to Respondent's motion for summary judgment by December 13, 2018. Petitioner is further advised that no further extensions will be granted, and if he fails to respond, the undersigned will recommend this action be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. *See Davis v. Williams*, 588 F.2d 69, 70 (4th Cir. 1978); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Shira V. Hadges

November 29, 2018 Columbia, South Carolina Shiva V. Hodges United States Magistrate Judge